



Submission for CSG impacts

Dunc-O Fowl to: csg.review@chiefscientist.nsw.gov.au

Please respond to vertcon

26/04/2013 12:16 PM

History:

This message has been replied to and forwarded.

I could rave on about this subject a while but here are some dot points for my official submission for those that CAN handle the truth

1. I believe there is now a need for a ROYAL COMMISSION into this matter , not just a State Review or inquiry. There is serious corruption of politicians, scientists, industry and I find the whole matter astounding and environmentally illegal and believe there is massive scope for damages compensation down the road -the damage has already been done
2. For some reason humans are thinking and folling others into believing some how that this physical planet is not connected to its other parts and every CSG operation can be compartmentalised into small plots with no affect on the outside of their grid even though some aquifers like the Great artesian basin span 30% of the country. That's about as stupid as staing if I take all the bore water out of one place it wont have affect anywhere else--well we know the GABasin keeps dropping and is an underground river essentially ALL of it CONNECTED, we know this, now suddenly CSG is acting like it isn't related, it doesn't flow, its only localised--that is untrue, negligent and scope for massive compensation as the damages will mount and no amount of gas will save these companies from what lies ahead in the form of class actions. I would urge the chief scientist to look at merely the fundamentals and need go no further than that to see whats going on .
3. Impacts such as tree clearing, dozing, camps, pipelines, trains, boats, gas leaks, water use loss and useage are on surface plain as day impacts which are being
4. The impacts of CSG have not been researched before the work was commenced . Any non corrupt geologist can tell you that -if you smash up rocks and water under ground-well you get smashed up rocks and water and whatever else is in there all mixing up. I suppose the CSG industry can scramble an egg as well without mixing the yellow and white stuff-as there is no ipact, they are not contiguous and non related and the white never affects the yellow -right?
5. The environment especially what lies under the surface has not been documented , researched and no one really knows about it but industry will support the clean facts from clean bores drilled--will they be guaranteed clean in 100-1000 years time, will they compensate the state if they are wrong and what about the sites they are wrong-Santos admits they are rehabilitating areas in their 2013 annual sustainability review--so WHICH one is it--we have no impacts BUT the IMPACT damage we have we are rehabilitating--there you go They have admitted it--what more can I bloody say-Am I wrong in saying this is an \$18m fix up so far to date, please correct me if I read it wrong--wow that's a lot of gas sales there compensating for -well gas sales
6. What about the methane bubbling out of creeks and bores , the dirty water, in Qld.
7. Is there a need to try and prove CSG is harmful when so much problems have been observed in other countries with bans on fracking and so forth and some how we are only at the start of an awareness in Australia when others have learnt it is damaging. Given that it has been proved harmful I find it astounding an industry

promoting all CSG can be accountable in any manner whatsoever without any baseline data and any follow up impact assessment

8. Doesn't the CSG industry state it fracks--it blows up the rocks and water everywhere--isn't that an admission of damage--well then isn't that damage--well damaging, but then they get on the TV and say--it's not damaging--hang on a minute--another admission of guilt. I think all we know is it is damaging but people are a bit unsure how much and where but will play on as long as someone is making money .
9. Any idiot can work out if you smash up an aquifer and pump crap into there , there will be an impact. I challenge all proponents of this clean water system to source all their water they need for their jobs, families, homes from this so called pure unaffected water, then they can drink and sustain themselves on that water alone to prove long term there are no problems, including areas with problems
10. I challenge the chief scientist to have CSG wells below their place of residence , childrens schools and all the farms that sustain your food supply or rivers you visit , World Heritage or otherwise. If this is so clean and good and pure lets well under Parliament House, The Opera House and every CSG industry leads personal place of abode and have them live with the so called BENEFITS . If you can stomach that scenario then maybe you are starting to see more clearly now .
11. When will we have enough??==when all the gas is gone?? then what. How many mines can the industry say we need 10,000, 10,000,000, 10 billion, one on every street corner-we sure will be a healthy country then with all these so called benefits
12. What is the sustainability time frame--can we smash up aquifers another 100 years, 1000 years, 1 million years, how long will they take to grow back from whence they came?==a few years or hundreds of millions of years .

OK that's all for now

Duncan Fowler
CEO of CSG Non Sustainability opposition
PO Box 234 Canungra Qld 4275
0422265604